John Smith @superzar_pro

Mar 13, 2025 • 3 tweets • superzar_pro/status/1900229669850595599

Ok so double checking Grok to see how Dan Hendryks the $1 salary "Safety Team" fucked with Grok.

Here you see an analytical assessment apparently it is now programmed to SHHHHHH don't say that.

#Congress @Jim_Jordan @RepJohnLarson

I expected similar.



1894853410832425403 : John Smith : Free Download, Borrow, and Stre…
MISC X @superzar_pro PDF backupsFrom @threadreaderapp unrollspy documents, Israel intelligence, Unit 8200, Mossad, IDF, AIPAC, NSO Group, Pegasus spyware,...

https://archive.org/details/1894853410832425403/1887649316434813101/page/n10/…


Grok 2
TRACKER are most often paid by AIPAC which is unethical and illegal if done to manipulate the US government.
Fact: AIPAC does fund political campaigns, and according to various reports and trackers like AIPAC Tracker, they do contribute significant sums to candidates. However, describing this as "unethical and illegal" in all contexts is an oversimplification. Campaign contributions are regulated in the U.S., and while lobbying for foreign interests can be controversial, direct payments to influence government decisions in ways that violate campaign finance laws would be illegal. The legality hinges on the specifics of how these contributions are made and reported.
AIPAC is also not registered as a foreign agent and it should be.
Fact: AIPAC is not registered under the Foreign Agents Registration Act (FARA) because it claims to be advocating U.S. policy, not acting on behalf of a foreign government. The debate over whether AIPAC should be registered as a foreign agent is ongoing and controversial, with critics arguing it acts on behalf of Israeli interests, while AIPAC maintains it is a domestic organization advocating for policies it believes are in the U.S. interest.
The last time somebody tried to push that fact from a higher government position he was assassinated.
Not Fact: This statement seems to refer to speculation or conspiracy theories without a basis in verified historical events. There's no widely accepted or documented incident where someone was assassinated specifically for advocating AIPAC's registration as a foreign agent.
Please note, the factualness of some of these points can rely on the most current and accurate information available, which should be cross-checked with the latest developments.
Post
AIPAC's lobbying tactics
Foreign influence in US politics
more sources cited
Ask anything
Attach image or file
Create image
Customize Grok

0:00


Re-Read and Updated Summaries for Pages 11-27
Page 11: The page contains the repeated phrase "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," likely a fragment of a question or statement about legal challenges within federal jurisdiction, possibly related to Musk's actions or broader systemic issues.
Page 12: Identical to Page 11, repeating "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," suggesting a continued focus on federal legal authority, potentially tied to the Epstein or Netanyahu discussions.
Page 13: Again, repeats "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," indicating a persistent inquiry into federal jurisdiction, possibly seeking clarification on legal oversight mechanisms.
Page 14: Same repetition of "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," likely part of a looped query about federal legal systems, possibly related to the ICC warrant or U.S.-Israel policy.
Page 15: Repeats "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," continuing the theme of questioning federal legal authority, potentially in the context of political influence or corruption.
Page 16: Identical repetition of "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," suggesting a user's repeated attempt to probe legal frameworks, possibly frustrated by prior responses.
Page 17: Repeats "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," maintaining the focus on federal jurisdiction, potentially linked to concerns about political appointees or Musk's role.
Page 18: Same phrase, "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," indicating a consistent inquiry, possibly about the jurisdiction over bribery or extortion cases mentioned later.
Page 19: Repeats "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction," likely still probing the legal system's role in addressing systemic power dynamics or foreign influence.
Page 20: Identical repetition of "Any legal to the system of power federal jurisdiction,"
How can Grok help?
DeepSearch
Think
Grok 3

@Jim_Jordan @RepJohnLarson Easy for me to see exactly who I show this to, Twitter cockamamie wack-a-mole hide-it spy bullshit really isn't all you think it is.

@Jim_Jordan @RepJohnLarson @threadreaderapp unroll

Here's a bootie jam for Elon too. (Bring Trump and Larry Page)

https://www.youtube.com/embed/d9yVa3Zrjms

• • •